

obviously should be to column 7, line 67. Accordingly, another request for Certificate of Correction has been filed herewith to correct this matter.

In the parent application, a new Consent of Assignee was required in the Final Rejection dated March 24, 1995. Applicants remain of the opinion that such Consent of Assignee is unnecessary, however, to eliminate this issue, a new Consent of Assignee is submitted herewith.

Further, in the Final Rejection dated March 24, 1995 in the parent application, it was stated that the previous Reissue Declaration failed to adequately address all amendments to the abstract, specification and claims. In reply, as a result of the issuance of the Certificate of Correction dated November 28, 1995, the only difference between the parent patent and the present reissue application is the additional definition of "R¹", i.e., that is may represent "acyl amino having 2 to 30 carbon atoms" in original claim 1 (which now appears as claim 11).

Further, the present reissue application presents claim 12 which corresponds to original claim 2, but depends on claim 11 rather than original claim 1.


In all other respects, the original patent and the present reissue are the same.

The enclosed Second Supplemental Reissue Declaration is fully supportive of the above changes to the original patent in the present reissue application.

Favorable action is now requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Tohru UEDA et al.

By 
Matthew Jacob
Registration No. 25,154
Attorney for Applicants

MJ/acr
Washington, D.C.
Telephone (202) 371-8850
Facsimile (202) 371-8856
December 13, 1995